13

Sheet 1

PTO/SB/08B (08-03) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

62357.017508

respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Complete If Known Under the Paperwork Substitute for form 1449/PTO **Application Number** 10/714,474 INFORMATION DISCLOSURE **Filing Date** November 13, 2003 STATEMENT BY APPLICANT **First Named Inventor** Ihab M. Hekal Art Unit 1732 (Use as many sheets as necessary) **Examiner Name** Suzanne E. McDowell **Attorney Docket Number** 

Examiner	Cite	NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS  Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of	
nitials*	No.1	the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T
7	1	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP.TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S FIFTH SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO CSP'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS. 1-11", (Pages 1-70)	
	•	(Attachment: "The Prior Art Invalidates The Asserted Claims") (Pages 1-15)	
	2	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO SCI'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS.1-4", (Pages 1-8)	
		(Attachment: "Exhibit A" - Chart Comparing U.S. Patent No. 5,911,937, 6,124,006 and 6,214,255 to "SCI Infringing Product")	
	3	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO SCI'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS. 1-4", (Pages 1-14)	
		(Attachment: "Exhibit A"-Letter From Kevin W. McCabe to Margaret M. Duncan, Esq., "Exhibit B"-Dictionary (Page 847), "Exhibit C"-Dictionary (Page 756)	
		"Exhibit D"-Dictionary (Page 1305), "Exhibit E" (Page 1250), "Exhibit G"-Chart Comparing U.S. Patent No. 5,911,937, 6,124,006 and 6,214,255 to "SCI Infringing Product")	
	·		

"EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

<sup>1</sup> Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.
This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents; P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/08B (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0651-0031
rademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ACCUMANT

62357.017508

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are abstitute for form 1449/PTO	are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a yalld OMB control number.  Complete if Known		
	Application Number	10/714,474	
NFORMATION DISCLOSURE [	Filing Date	November 13, 2003	
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT [	First Named Inventor	Ihab M. Hekal	
(Use as many sheets as necessary)	Art Unit	1732	
. (555 55 1151) 51.0013 55 110555521))	Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell	

**Attorney Docket Number** 

Sheet

2

13 of

			• • • •		<u> </u>		
		NON PATENT LITERATURE DO					
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. <sup>1</sup>	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, can number(s), publisher, city and/or court	atalog, etc.), date	, page(s), volume-issue hed.	T <sup>2</sup>		
4	4	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, IN RESPONSES TO SCI'S FIRST SET OF INTERROG	C.: "CSP'S THI	RD SUPPLEMENTAL			
		(Attachment: "Exhibit A"-Letter From Kevin W. Esq., "Exhibit B"-Dictionary (Page 22), "Exhibit	McCabe to M C"-Dictionary	argaret M. Duncan, (Page 847)			
		"Exhibit D"-Dictionary (Page 756), "Exhibit E"-Diction (Page 1305), "Exhibit G"-Dictionary (Page 1250), "Exhibit G"-Dictionary (Page 1305), "Exhibit E"-Dictionary (Page 1305), "Exhibit E"-Dictionary (Page 1305), "Exhibit E"-Dictionary (Page 1305), "Exhibit G"-Dictionary (Page 1305)	ary (Page 921), hibit H"-Diction	, "Exhibit F"-Dictionary ary(Page 1251)			
		"Exhibit I"-Chart Comparing U.S. Patent No 6,214,255 to "SCI Infringing Product")	. 5,911,937,	6,124,006 and			
	5	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC. RESPONSES TO SCI'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGA	.: "CSP'S FOUR ATORIES NOS.	RTH SUPPLEMENTAL 1-4", (Pages 1-15)			
		(Attachment: "Exhibit A"-Letter From Kevin W. Esq., "Exhibit B"-Dictionary (Page 22), "Exhibit	McCabe to Ma C"-Dictionary	argaret M. Duncan, (Page 847)			
		"Exhibit D"-Dictionary (Page 756), "Exhibit E"-Dictiona (Page 1305), "Exhibit G"-Dictionary (Page 1250), "Exh	ary (Page 921), hibit H"-Dictiona	"Exhibit F"-Dictionary ary(Page 1251)			
		"Exhibit I"-Chart Comparing U.S. Patent No. 6,214,255 to "SCI Infringing Product")	5,911,937, 6	5,124,006 and			
	6	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO SCI'S SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS.5-6", (Pages 1-6)					
	7	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "RESPONSES TO SCI'S SECOND SET OF INTERROGA	CSP'S SUPPLE ATORIES NOS.	MENTAL 5 AND 6", (Pages 1-5)			
Examiner			Date				

Signature Considered

considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

1 Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 Hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

<sup>\*</sup>EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to

Substiti	Substitute for form 1449/PTO				Complete If Known
١.,	,			Application Number	10/714,474
			CLOSURE	Filing Date	November 13, 2003
STA	STATEMENT BY APPLICANT			First Named Inventor	lhab M. Hekal
	(Use as many she	ets as r	necessarv)	Art Unit	1732
				Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell
Sheet	3	of	13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.017508
•					

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T²
<	8	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S RESPONSES TO SCI'S THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS.7-11", (Pages 1-9)	
١	9	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO SCI'S FOURTH SET OF INTERROGATORIES" (PAGES 1-8)	
	10	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES' FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO.5 (pages 1-11)	
	11	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES' CORRECTED FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO.5", (pages 1-11)	
	12	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S ANSWERS TO PLAINTIFF SCI'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSON", (PAGES 1-3)	
	-	(Attachment: "CSP's Objections And Responses To SCI's Second Set Of Requests For Admission" (Pages 1-4) With Attachment.)	
	13	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO CSP'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS.1-11", (Pages 1-63)	
		(Attachment: "The Prior Art Invalidates The Asserted Claims") (Pages 1-13)	
	· 14	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT". (Pages 1-5)	

<u> </u>				/	
Examiner Signature	5	Date Considered	3/	66	
*EYALUNED. L	W-1 H	<del></del>		<u> </u>	

ce considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not ly conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to piace a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

PTC/SB/08B (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons and Substitute for form 1449/PTO			e required to respond to a collection	n of information unless it contains a valid OMB control numb Complete if Known	ier.
Substitu	RETORTORM 1445/PTO			Application Number	10/714,474	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE				Filing Date	November 13, 2003	
STA	(Use as many sheets as necessary)			First Named Inventor	lhab M. Hekal	٠
				Art Unit	1732	
1				Examiner Name.	Suzanne E. McDowell	
Sheet	4	of	13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.017508	
						٠.

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. <sup>1</sup>	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T²
$\langle \cdot \rangle$	15	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: " DECLARATION OF ROBERT S. ABRAMS IN SUPPORT OF CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS OR TRANSFER SUD-CHEMIE'S-	
	••	DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACTION", (Pages 1-5)	
		(Attachment: "Exhibit 1"- Letter From Klaus Langer to Robert S. Abrams, "Exhibit 2"- Letter From Robert S. Abrams Klaus Langer)	
	16	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO DISMISS OR TRANSFER-	
		SUD-CHEMIE'S DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACTION";(Pages 1-23)	
	17	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SUD-CHEMIE, INC.'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT", (Pages 1-7)	
	18	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CONSENTED TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO WITHDRAW THE DEFENDANTS MOTION TO DISMISS OR-	
·		TRANSFER", (Pages 1-3)	
	19	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "ANSWER AND COUNTER CLAIMS OF DEFENDANT AND COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFF CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.", (Pages 1-13)	
		,	

Examiner	Date	2/	6.0	
Signature	Considered		06	

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformarice with MPEP 609. Oraw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant's unique city of this form with next communication to applicant.

1 Applicant's unique city of this term with next communication is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTC/SB/08B (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Substitu	Substitute for form 1449/PTO				Complete if Known
0.000				Application Number	10/714,474
INF	ORMATIO	N DIS	SCLOSURE	Filing Date	November 13, 2003
STA	STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Use as many sheets as necessary)			First Named Inventor	Ihab M. Hekal
				Art Unit	1732
(Use as many sheets as notessary)			iocessary)	Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell
Sheet	5	of	13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.017508

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T <sup>2</sup>
SV	20	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "JOINT PROPOSED CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN", (Pages 1-21)	
	21	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: PLAINTIFF SUD-CHEMIE, INC.'S REPLY TO THE COUNTERCLAIMS OF DEFENDANT CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.", (Pages 1-6)	
	22	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND ITS ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIMS", (Pages 1-8)	
	23	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES' MOTION TO DISMISS SUD-CHEMIE'S DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACTION FOR LACK OF A JUSTICIABLE-	
		CONTROVERSY AS TO CERTAIN PATENTS AND PRODUCTS", (Pages 1-3)	
	24	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES' MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS DISMISSING SUD-CHEMIE'S AMENDED THIRD COUNT FOR INEQUITABLE CONBUCT",-	
		(Pages 1-6)	
	25	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES' MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO DISMISS SUD-CHEMIES DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACTION FOR LACK OF A-	į
		JUSTICIABLE CONTROVERSY AS TO CERTAIN PATENTS AND PRODUCTS", (Pages 1-15)	
	26	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S OPPOSITION TO CSP'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND ITS ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIMS", (Pages 1-4)	

		,
Examiner Signature	Date Considered	3/06

<sup>\*</sup>EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

1 Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to piace a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a yalid OMB control number.

Complete if Known Substitute for form 1449/PTO **Application Number** 10/714,474 INFORMATION DISCLOSURE **Filing Date** November 13, 2003 STATEMENT BY APPLICANT First Named Inventor Ihab M. Hekal Art Unit 1732 (Use as many sheets as necessary) **Examiner Name** Suzanne E. McDowell **Attorney Docket Number** Sheet 62357.017508 16 13

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. <sup>1</sup>	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T <sup>2</sup>
5	-25	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S OPPOSITION TO CSP'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS" (Pages 1-12)	
	28	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S OPENING BREIF ON CLAIM CONSTRUCTION", (Pages 1-30)	
	29	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "PLAINTIFF SCI'S BREIF ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE '937, '006, AND '255 PATENTS", (Pages 1-29)	
	30	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: CSP TECHNOLOGIES' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND ITS ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIMS", (Pages 1-4)	
	31	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS DISMISSING SUD-CHEMIE'S AMENDED THIRD-	·
		COUNT FOR INEQUITABLE CONDUCT", (Pages 1-9)	
	·	/	
Examiner Signature		Date Considered 7/0 C	

\*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to piace a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

PTO/SB/08B (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Suborit	Substitute for form 1449/PTO			Complete If Known		
outputition for it is the				Application Number	10/714,474	
INF	ORMATION	DIS	CLOSURE	Filing Date	November 13, 2003	
STA	STATEMENT BY APPLICANT			First Named Inventor	Ihab M. Hekai	
	(Use as many sheets as necessary)			Art Unit	1732	
(Use as meny anedia as incessory)				Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell	
Sheet	7	of	13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.017508	

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Cite Initials* No.		Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T <sup>2</sup>
32		SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: CSP TECHNOLOGIES' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO DISMISS SUD-CHEMIE'S DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACTION AS TO CERTAIN PATENTS AND-	
		PRODUCTS", (Pages 1-15)	
	33	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S OPPOSITION TO CSP'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND ITS ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIMS", (Pages 1-8)	
	34	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "PLAINTIFF SCI'S REPLY BREIF ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE '937, '006, AND '255 PATENTS", (Pages 1-22)	
	35	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S RESPONSE TO SCI'S BREIF ON CLAIM CONSTRUCTION", (Pages 1-36)	
	36	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO LITERAL INFRINGEMENT", (Pages 1-12)	
	37	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "PLAINTIFF SCI'S BREIF IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO LITERAL INFRINGEMENT", (Pages 1-12)	
	38	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "OFFICIAL REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MARKMAN HEARING", (Pages 1-106)	
	39	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES' BREIF IN OPPOSITION TO SCI'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO LITERAL INFRINGEMENT", (Pages 1-18)	
		SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "DECLARATON OF CHRISTOPHER W. MACOSKO", (Pages 1-8)	

			. /	
Examiner		Date	/	4
Signature		Considered	. 3[	06
*FXAMINER	initial if reference considered, whether or not cliation is in conformance with MPER 60	Q. Demution through elte	ntion if and i	a conformance and and

considered. include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

<sup>1</sup> Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached. This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.88. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. 80x 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. OO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number. Complete if Known Substitute for form 1449/PTO **Application Number** 10/714,474 **Filing Date** INFORMATION DISCLOSURE November 13, 2003 STATEMENT BY APPLICANT First Named Inventor Ihab M. Hekal Art Unit 1732 (Use as many sheets as necessary) **Examiner Name** Suzanne E. McDowell **Attorney Docket Number** Sheet 18 13 62357.017508

		NON DATERNE LEGENATURE DOCUMENTO	
Examiner	Cite	NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of	T
Initials*	No.1	the Item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T <sup>2</sup>
	1	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SECOND	
8	241	DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER W. MACOSKO", (Pages 1-6)	
	42	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: SCI'S REPLY TO SUR-REPLY BREIF REGARDING SCI'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO LITERAL INFRINGEMENT*, (Pages 1-5)	
	43	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S MOTION TO DISMISS ALL CLAIMS RELATING TO U.S PATENT NO. 6,124,006 FOR LACK OF A JUSTICIABLE CONTROVERSY", (Pages 1-3)	·
	44	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO DISMISS ALL CLAIMS RELATING TO U.S. PATENT NO. 6,124,006 FOR LACK OF A JUSTICABLE-	
	•	CONTROVERSY (Pages 1-4)	
	45	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S OPPOSITION TO CSP'S MOTION TO DISMISS ALL CLAIMS RELATING TO U.S PATENT NO. 6,124,006 FOR LACK OF A JUSTICIALBLE-	
		CONTROVERSY AND SCI'S CROSS-MOTION IN LIMINE REGARDING THE PRESUMTION OF VALIDITY", (Pages 1-11)	
	46	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO DISMISS ALL CLAIMS RELATING TO U.S. PATENT NO. 6,124,006 FOR LACK OF A JUSTICIABLE-	
		CONTROVERSY AND CSP'S OPPOSITION TO SCI'S CROSS-MOTION IN LIMINE REGARDING THE PRESUMTION OF VALIDITY", (Pages 1-13)	
	47	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S REPLY BRIEF IN SUPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO LITERAL INFRINGEMENT", (Pages 1-21	
1			

			/
Examine		Date	2/6/
Signature		Considered	3/06
*EXAMINER:	Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in confor	mance with MPEP 609. Draw line through c	tation if not in conformance and not

considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

<sup>1</sup> Applicant's unique cottain designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Substitute for form 1449/PTO		To required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.  Complete If Known		
Substitute for form 144827 10	Application Number	10/714,474		
INFORMATION DISCLOSURI	Filing Date	November 13, 2003		
STATEMENT BY APPLICAN	First Named Inventor	Ihab M. Hekal		
(Use as many sheets as necessary)	Art Unit	1732		
(000 83 Hally allows 23 Housesty)	Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDoweli		
Sheet 9 of 13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.017508		

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Cite No.1		Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T <sup>2.</sup>
(>	48	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES' SURREPLY IN OPPOSITION TO SCI'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO LITERAL INFRINGEMENT", (Page 1-17)	
	49	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: SCI'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF PATENT INVALIDITY", (Pages 1-35)	
		(With Attachment Cited Below)	
	50	(Attachment No. 1:Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.:"CSP's Fourth Supplemental Responses To SCI's First Set-	
		Of Interrogatories Nos. 1-4") (Pages 1-15) With Attachment)	
	51	(Attachment No. 2: Hekal, "United States Patent No. 5,911,937")	
	52	(Attachment No. 3: Hekal, "United States Patent No. 6,124,006")	
	53	(Attachment No. 4: Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.: "CSP's Answers To Plaintiff SCI's First Set Of Requests For Admission", (Pages 1-4)	
	54	(Attachment No. 5: Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.: "CSP's Responses to SCI's Third Set Of Interrogatories Nos. 7-11", (Pages 1-9)	
الــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ			

Examiner Signature		Date Considered	3/06
PEVARAINED. L	W-+18		ation Marking and and

<sup>&</sup>quot;EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

1 Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is statached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 flours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Tredemark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

			act of 1995, no persons a	re required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.  Complete If Known		
Substitu	de for form 1449/PTO			Application Number	10/714,474	
INF	ORMATION	N DIS	SCLOSURE	Filing Date	November 13, 2003	
STA	STATEMENT BY APPLICANT			First Named Inventor	Ihab M. Hekal	
	files se mome ek	onte ne s		Art Unit	1732	
(Use as many sheets as necessary)				Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell	
Sheet	10	of	13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.017508	

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T²
80	55	(Attachment No. 6: Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.: "Declaration Of Professor Donald R. Paul In Support Of SCI's Motion For Summary Judgment Of-	
		Patent Invalidity", (Pages 1-6)	
	56	(Attachment No. 7: Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.: "Deposition Transcript Of Ihab Hekal"(September 24, 2004)(7 Pages)	
	57	(Attachment No. 8: "Hekal, United States Patent No. 6,130,263")	
	58	(Attachment No. 9: "Hekal, United States Patent No. 6,214,255 B1")	
	59	(Attachment No. 10: Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.: "Deposition Of Glenn Frederickson Taken On Tuesday, October 12, 2004", (19 Pages)	
	60	(Attachment No.11: Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.: "Videotaped Deposition Of Defendant By Ihab Hekal", (September 23, 2004)	
		(7 Pages)	
	61	(Attachment No. 12: Lancessenr, "United States Patent No. 5,432,214")	
Examiner	T	Date (3//)	

Examiner Signature	X			Date Considered	3/06
"EXAMINER: I	itiat if reference co	nsidered.	whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 60	9. Oraw line through c	itation if not in conformance and n

<sup>\*</sup>EXAMINER: Initial If reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Oraw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

1 Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Complete If Known Substitute for form 1449/PTO **Application Number** 10/714,474 INFORMATION DISCLOSURE **Filing Date** November 13, 2003 STATEMENT BY APPLICANT **First Named Inventor** Ihab M. Hekal **Art Unit** 1732 (Use as many sheets as necessary) **Examiner Name** Suzanne E. McDowell **Attorney Docket Number** Sheet 11 62357.017508 13

			•			
		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS				
Examiner Initials*						
(Attachment No. 13: "Chart Comparing U.S. Patent No. 5,911,937, 6,124,006 and 6,214,255 to "SCI Infringing Product", (Pages 1-5)						
1	63	(Attachment No. 14: "Project On Morphology Control In Polymer-Polymer-Particle Alloys", (Pages 1-2)				
	64	(Attachment No. 15: "European Patent Application No. 0,401,666 A2")				
	65	(Attachment No. 16: "Shigeta et al., United States patent No. 5,078,909")				
	66	(Attachment No. 17: "International Application No. PCT/US96/05261")				
	67	(Attachment No. 18: "Hekal, United States Patent No. 6,174,952 B1")				
	68	(Attachment No. 19: "Hekal, United States Patent No. 6,194,079 B1")				
	69	(Attachment No. 20: "Hekal, United States Patent No. 6,465,532 B1")				
	70	(Attachment No. 21: "Hekal, United States Patent No. 6,486,231 B1")				
	71	(Attachment No. 22: "Memo To Dr.Hekal From Rob Garren")				
Examiner	г		<u>-</u>			
	i .	Date 2/5/				

Signature	81	Considered	306
*EXAMINER: Inconsidered. Inc	itial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in confor tude copy of this form with next communication to applicant.	mance with MPEP 609. Draw line through c	itation if not in conformance and not

considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicants.

1 Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to piace a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	nder the Paperwork Route for form 1449/PTO		ct of 1995, no persons a	re required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.  Complete if Known		
Substitu	Re for form (448/FTC			Application Number	10/714,474	
INF	ORMATIO	N DIS	CLOSURE	Filing Date	November 13, 2003	
(Use as many sheets as necessary)				First Named Inventor	Ihab M. Hekal	
				Art Unit	1732	
				Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell	
Sheet	12	of	13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.017508	

	<del></del>		<del></del>			
		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS				
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. <sup>1</sup>	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T <sup>2</sup>			
81/	72	(Attachment No. 23: Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.: "Deposition Of Robert Garren, on July 8, 2004", (4 Pages)				
	73	(Attachment No. 24: "Letter To Calvin L. Loop From Robert S. Abrams") (With Attachment)				
	74	(Attachment No. 25: "Letter To Calvin L. Loop From Robert S. Abrams")				
	(Attachment No. 26: "Memo To Calvin Loop From Rob Garren")					
	(Attachment No. 27: "Memo To Calvin Loop From Beki Urioste")					
	77	(Attachment No. 28: "Memo To Dr. Hekal, Clavin Loop, Robert Abrams From Rob Garren")				
	78	(Attachment No. 29: "Summary On New Polymer Technology")				
	79	(Attachment No. 30: "Diagrams")				
	80	(Attachment No. 31: "Diagrams")				
Examiner Signature		Date Considered State Considered 3/86				

\*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

			ct of 1995, no persons a	re required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.  Complete if Known		
Substitu	te for form 1449/PTO			Application Number	10/714,474	
INF	ORMATIO	N DIS	CLOSURE	Filing Date	November 13, 2003	
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT			PPLICANT	First Named Inventor	Ihab M. Hekal	
	(Use as many si			Art Unit	1732	
	(Use as many ar	19912 42 1	rocessary)	Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell	
Sheet	13	of	13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.017508	

			NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS			
Examiner Initials*		Cite No. <sup>1</sup>	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of			
So	S		(Attachment No. 32: "Diagrams")			
١		82	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES INC.'S OPPOSITION TO SUD-CHEMIE, INC.'S SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND EXHIBITS 7, 9A, 9B, 9C,9D,9E,12,14,15 & 17",			
			(Pages 1-34)			
		83	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF PATENT INVALIDITY", (Pages 1-21)			
		84	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S LOCAL RULE 56.1(d) SURREPLY IN OPPOSITION TO SCI'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF PATENT INVALIDITY", (Pages 1-10)			
		85	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF PATENT INVALIDITY", (Pages 1-2)			
	7					

Examiner			Date	2/4
Signature	<i>Y</i> \	_	Considered	906

<sup>\*</sup>EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered, include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

1 Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.
This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.